

The Case Team
The Planning Inspectorate
National Infrastructure Planning
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Bristol
BS1 6PN

Date: 3rd June 2024
Your ref: EN010117
Our ref:
Direct:
Email:

Uploaded to Planning Inspectorate Website only

Dear Case Team,

Application by Rampion Extension Development Ltd for a new offshore windfarm with up to 90 wind turbine generators, offshore and onshore substations and electricity transmission infrastructure

Deadline 4 Submission

Rampion Extension Development Ltd (RED) ('the Applicant') writes in response to the Examining Authority's (ExA) letter **[PD-007]** issued under The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010, Rule 8.

Responses to Deadline 3 Submissions

The Applicant has taken the opportunity to review each submission received into the Examination at Deadline 3. The Applicant has focussed on responding to submissions made at Deadline 3 only where it will be helpful to the Examining Authority to do so. Documents **Applicant's Comments on Deadline 3 Submissions (Document reference 8.66)** and **Applicant's Response to Stakeholders' Replies to Examining Authority's Written Questions (Document reference 8.79)** therefore focus on comments that have not already been made by Interested Parties and responded to by the Applicant.

Documents Enclosed

In accordance with the Examining Authority's requirements in the Rule 8 letter **[PD-007]** the Applicant encloses the documents listed in Table 1 below. In addition to the documents required in the Rule 8 Letter, the additional documents identified in Table 2 below are also submitted to the Examination by the Applicant.

Table 1: Documents Required in Rule 8 Letter

Applicant's Document Reference	Document Title	Revision	Previous Examination Library Reference
1.6	Application Document Tracker ¹	F	REP3-002
3.1	Draft Development Consent Order (clean)	E	REP3-003
3.1	Draft Development Consent Order (tracked)	E	REP3-004
3.3	Schedule of Changes to the Draft Development Consent Order	D	REP3-007
4.4	Second Update of the Land Rights Tracker (clean)	D	REP3-008
4.4	Second Update of the Land Rights Tracker (tracked)	D	REP3-009
8.21	Statement of Commonality for Statements of Common Ground	C	REP2-012
8.22	Applicant's Mid-Examination Progress Tracker	C	REP2-013
8.66	Applicant's Comments on Deadline 3 Submissions	A	N/A
8.68	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Issue Specific Hearing 2	A	N/A
8.69	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 1	A	N/A
8.70	Applicant's Responses to Action Points Arising from ISH2 and CAH1	A	N/A
8.71	Draft S106 Agreement with West Sussex County Council	A	N/A
8.72	Draft S106 Agreement with Horsham District Council	A	N/A
8.73	Draft S106 Agreement with South Downs National Park Authority	A	N/A
8.77	Applicant's Response to Stakeholder's Replies to Examining Authority's Written Question	A	N/A

¹ Submitted instead of the Guide to the Application which will be updated at Deadline 6.

Table 2: Additional Submissions

Applicant's Document Reference	Document Title	Revision	Previous Examination Library Reference
N/A	Covering Letter	N/A	N/A
2.6	Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan	C	PEPD-007
3.2	Explanatory Memorandum (clean)	D	REP3-005
3.2	Explanatory Memorandum (tracked)	D	REP3-006
4.2	Funding Statement (clean)	B	APP-025
4.2	Funding Statement (tracked)	B	APP-025
4.5	Change Log for Book of Reference	C	REP3-012
5.10	Habitats Regulations Assessment (Without Prejudice) Derogation Case (clean)	B	APP-039
5.10	Habitats Regulations Assessment (Without Prejudice) Derogation Case (tracked)	B	APP-039
5.10.1	Alternative Schedule 17 (on a without prejudice basis) (clean)	B	PEPD-017
5.10.1	Alternative Schedule 17 (on a without prejudice basis) (tracked)	B	PEPD-017
6.2.9	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 9 Benthic, Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology (clean)	B	APP-050
6.2.9	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 9 Benthic, Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology (tracked)	B	APP-050
6.2.11	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine Mammals (clean)	C	REP1-004

6.2.11	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine Mammals (tracked)	C	REP1-005
6.2.22	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology (clean)	B	APP-063
6.2.22	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology (tracked)	B	APP-063
6.2.25	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 25 Historic Environment (clean)	C	PEPD-020
6.2.25	Environmental Statement Volume 2 Chapter 25 Historic Environment (tracked)	C	PEPD-021
6.3.18	Environmental Statement Volume 3 Chapter 18 Landscape and visual impact assessment – Figures (Part 1 of 6)	B	APP-098
6.3.18	Environmental Statement Volume 3 Chapter 18 Landscape and visual impact assessment – Figures (Part 2 of 6)	B	APP-098
6.3.18	Environmental Statement Volume 3 Chapter 18 Landscape and visual impact assessment – Figures (Part 6 of 6)	B	APP-098
6.3.25	Environmental Statement – Volume 3 Chapter 25 Historic Environment – Figures (Part 3 of 5)	B	APP-114
6.3.25	Environmental Statement – Volume 3 Chapter 25 Historic Environment – Figures (Part 4 of 5)	B	APP-115
6.4.18.2	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 18.2 Viewpoint Analysis (clean)	B	APP-168
6.4.18.2	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 18.2 Viewpoint Analysis (tracked)	B	APP-168
6.4.18.6	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 18.6 Viewpoint Directory (clean)	B	APP-172
6.4.18.6	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 18.6 Viewpoint Directory (tracked)	B	APP-172

6.4.22.16	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 22.16: Arboricultural Impact Assessment (clean)	B	APP-194
6.4.22.16	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 22.16: Arboricultural Impact Assessment (tracked)	B	APP-194
6.4.26.2	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 26.2 Flood Risk Assessment (clean)	B	APP-216
6.4.26.2	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 26.2 Flood Risk Assessment (tracked)	B	APP-216
7.1	Outline Operational Drainage Plan (clean)	C	REP3-023
7.1	Outline Operational Drainage Plan (tracked)	C	REP3-023
7.2	Outline Code of Construction Practice (clean)	D	REP3-024
7.2	Outline Code of Construction Practice (tracked)	D	REP3-024
7.6	Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (clean)	E	REP1-010
7.6	Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (tracked)	E	REP1-010
7.10	Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (clean)	C	REP3-036
7.10	Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (tracked)	C	REP3-036
7.11	Outline Project Environmental Management Plan (clean)	B	APP-233
7.11	Outline Project Environmental Management Plan (tracked)	B	APP-233
7.14	Draft Piling Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (clean)	B	APP-236
7.14	Draft Piling Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (tracked)	B	APP-236
7.17	In Principle Sensitive Features Mitigation Plan (clean)	D	REP3-044
7.17	In Principle Sensitive Features Mitigation Plan (tracked)	D	REP3-044
7.18	Offshore in Principle Monitoring Plan (clean)	C	REP3-047
7.18	Offshore in Principle Monitoring Plan (tracked)	C	REP3-047
7.22	Commitments Register (clean)	D	REP3-048
7.22	Commitments Register (tracked)	D	REP3-048

8.25.1	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Issue Specific Hearing 1 Appendix 9 - Further information for Action Points 38 and 39 – Underwater Noise (clean)	B	REP1-020
8.25.1	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Issue Specific Hearing 1 Appendix 9 - Further information for Action Points 38 and 39 – Underwater Noise (tracked)	B	REP1-020
8.25.5	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Issue Specific Hearing 1 Appendix 5 – Further information on South Downs National Park (clean)	B	REP1-024
8.25.5	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Issue Specific Hearing 1 Appendix 5 – Further information on South Downs National Park (tracked)	B	REP1-024
8.25.8	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Issue Specific Hearing 1 Appendix 8 – Further information for Action Point 34 – Guillemot and Razorbill (clean)	B	REP1-027
8.25.8	Applicant's Post Hearing Submission – Issue Specific Hearing 1 Appendix 8 – Further information for Action Point 34 – Guillemot and Razorbill (tracked)	B	REP1-027
8.40	8.40 ITAP - Information to support efficacy of noise mitigation / abatement techniques with respect to site conditions at Rampion 2 Offshore Windfarm.	A	N/A
8.50	Marine Plan and Policies Statement (clean)	B	REP2-027
8.50	Marine Plan and Policies Statement (tracked)	B	REP2-028
8.67	Without Prejudice Stage 2 Marine Conservation Area (MCZ) assessment	A	N/A
8.74	Without Prejudice Measures of Equivalent Environmental Benefit (MEEB) Review	A	N/A
8.75	Offshore Design Statement	A	N/A

8.79	Applicant's Comments of Deadline 3 Submissions (Confidential) – Mr Dickson	A	N/A
8.80	Schedule 18 - Measures of Equivalent Environmental Benefit (on a without prejudice basis)	A	N/A

Appendix 8: Further information for Action point 34 – Guillemot and Razorbill [REP1-027]

Minor amendments have been made to this document in response to Natural England's Deadline 3 Submission – Appendix B3 – Natural England's advice on the Applicant's Deadline 1 submissions relating to Guillemot, Razorbill and Great black-backed gull **[REP3-080]**. Table 3.1 has been updated in Appendix 8: Further information for Action point 34 – Guillemot and Razorbill **[REP1-027]** to show corrected values of guillemot attributed to the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA and will be submitted at Deadline 4.

Statements of Common Ground

Following the recent hearings, the Applicant has entered into a Statement of Common Ground with Southern Water in respect of the impacts of the Proposed Development on the Water Environment. This document is submitted at Deadline 4 as 8.78 Statement of Common Ground - Southern Water.

The following Statements of Common Ground are now complete and so are submitted at this Deadline:

- Statement of Common Ground – Environment Agency (Document Reference 8.6)
- Statement of Common Ground – Marine Aggregates (Document Reference 8.16)

Draft Section 106 Legal Agreements

Draft section 106 agreements are included in this Deadline 4 submission in accordance with the Rule 8 letter, and it should be noted that these remain subject to negotiation with the respective authorities. The Applicant hopes to be in a position to conclude the agreements following the conclusion of land deals, and prior to the end of the Examination, but in the event that is not possible then it will propose an appropriate requirement for inclusion in the draft DCO to secure the completion of the agreements post consent.

Errata

The Applicant includes details of all errata matters (including those identified in our submissions to previous Deadlines) as **Appendix 1** of this letter.

The Applicant trusts these confirmations are helpful, but please contact the undersigned if you require any further information.

Yours faithfully,

Rampion Extension Development Limited

Encs

Appendix 1: Errata

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Procedural Deadline A					
TPO and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013]	Tree Preservation and Hedgerow Plan (B) sheets 02, 04 and 34	<p>On Sheet 02 - Hedgerow H13 has been changed from 'Hedgerows unaffected by the proposed development' to 'Hedgerows which may be removed within the Order Limits' to allow for construction access.</p> <p>On Sheet 04 - Hedgerow H27 from 'Hedgerows unaffected by the proposed development' to 'Hedgerows which may be removed within the Order Limits' to allow for construction access.</p> <p>On sheet 34 - Hedgerow H456 from 'Hedgerows unaffected by the proposed development' to 'Hedgerows which may be removed within the Order Limits' to allow additional flexibility for grid connection works.</p> <p>In addition, further information has been provided in the key to enable easier use of the plan.</p>	Following West Sussex County Council comments.	<p>Corrections made to plans and full set of figures for Tree Preservation Order (TPO) and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] resubmitted for Procedural Deadline A.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	Procedural Deadline A
Planning Statement [APP-036]	Executive Summary Paras 1.1.1	<p>Text to be updated from:</p> <p>'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 25km off the Sussex coast.'</p> <p>To:</p> <p>'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 26km off the Sussex coast.'</p>	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
Planning Statement	Executive Summary	Text to be updated from:	Following the Planning	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
[APP-036]	Paras 2.1.5	'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 25km off the Sussex coast.' To: 'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 26km off the Sussex coast.'	Inspectorate S51 advice		
Environmental Statement Chapter 1 [APP-042]	Volume 2, Chapter 4 Table 4.1	Table 4.1 to be updated from: Wind farm array area for Rampion 2 - 196km ² To: Wind farm array area for Rampion 2 - 160km ² Wind farm array area and wind farm separation zones (where turbines and substations are excluded) - 196km ²	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 4 The Proposed Development [APP-045]	Volume 2, Chapter 4 Table 4.1	Table 4.1 to be updated from: Closest distance to shore of wind farm array area - 13km To: Closest distance to shore of wind farm array area - 13km Furthest distance to shore of wind farm array area - 26km	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Table 8-12, p87	In Table 8-12 for the row "Mortality, injury, behavioural changes and auditory masking arising from noise and vibration", Maximum assessment assumptions is currently stated as "Piling of 90 smaller monopile WTG foundations (13.5m diameter)" this should state: "Piling of 65 larger monopile WTG foundations (13.5m diameter)".	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Table 8-12, p87	In Table 8-12 for the row "Monopile turbine parameters", Maximum parameters is currently stated as "Up to 90 monopiles (smaller turbines) 13.5m diameter turbines (larger turbines)" this should state: "Up to 90 monopiles (smaller turbines) 10m diameter turbines".	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 21.2 Construction plant list [APP-177]	Volume 4, Appendix 21.2: Construction Plant List [APP-177]	The plant list table assumed for the operational noise modelling of the construction compounds has not been included. This will be amended accordingly.	To correct typographical error.	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 21.2 Construction plant list [APP-177] has been submitted at Procedural Deadline A. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Table 22-18	Table 22-18 - row identified as 'Ponds' in the final column 'Scoped in / out' should be changed from: 'Out - there are 17 ponds within the proposed DCO Order Limits. All of these ponds have been highlighted for retention within the vegetation retention plan (see C-220 in Table 22.21 in Section 22.7)' To: 'Out - there are 13 ponds within the proposed DCO Order Limits. All of these ponds have been highlighted for retention within the pond retention plan (see C-220 in Table 22.21 in Section 22.7)'	Following West Sussex County Council comments. Note: Error highlighted to ensure clarity within the assessment and demonstrate scale of potential effect. The change does not alter the outcome of the	N/A Note that the Procedural Deadline A submission includes a Pond Retention Plan with the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] .	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			assessment of Great Crested Newts in Section 22.9 .		
Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.98	<p>Paragraph 22.9.98 will change from (to highlight additional tree lines affected): ‘Both species poor and species rich native hedgerows are HPI. Of the 239 hedgerows that have been identified within the proposed DCO Order Limits, 89 are within locations where losses would occur due to onshore cable installation, access creation or through the construction of the onshore substation. 28 tree lines would also be subject to works when crossed by the onshore cable corridor. Table 22-25 lists the hedgerows and tree lines subject to temporary or permanent losses.’</p> <p>to</p> <p>‘Both species poor and species rich native hedgerows are HPI. Of the 239 hedgerows that have been identified within the proposed DCO Order Limits, 89 are within locations where losses would occur due to onshore cable installation, access creation or through the construction of the onshore substation. 30 tree lines would also be subject to works when crossed by the onshore cable corridor. Table 22-25 lists the hedgerows and tree lines subject to temporary or permanent losses.’</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] as part of the Pre-Examination Procedural Deadline A. This results in these related changes within Chapter 22 of the Environmental Statement.</p> <p>Note: These changes overall are not great enough to alter the conclusion drawn in the Ecological Impact Assessment (paragraphs 22.9.107 and 22.9.111).</p>	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			<p>These changes have also been considered in light of the assessment of bats (notably paragraph 22.9.139) where they do not result in the conclusions drawn in paragraphs 22.9.144 and 22.9.147.</p>		
<p>Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]</p>	<p>Table 22-25 and accompanying footnote 45</p>	<p>Table 22-25 requires the following changes to align with the reissued Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]. The changes are noted as:</p> <p>A Row titled 'H27' is added showing loss of 6m (for construction access) A row titled 'H146a' is added showing loss of 14m (for cable installation) A row titled 'H149a' is removed (loss not required) In row titled 'H168' the loss has been reduced from 14m to 6m (reducing impact on important hedgerow) In row titled 'H257' the loss has increased from 14m to 28m as hedgerow is crossed twice Row titled 'H382' has been removed (loss not required) Row titled 'H450' has been removed (loss not required) A row titled 'H456' is added showing a loss of 6m (additional space for cable connection) A row titled 'W544' has been added with loss of 20m (tree line omitted in error) A row titled 'W557' has been added with loss of 14m (for cable installation)</p> <p>A table footnote (footnote 45) is also to be added that reads 'Table 22-25 lists hedgerows that qualify as ecologically important only; historically important hedgerows are described in Chapter 25 Historic Environment [APP-066]'</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p> <p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines in</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Procedural Deadline A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			Section 22.9 of Chapter 22.		
Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.100	<p>For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.100 is to change from:</p> <p>‘The installation of the onshore cable, the construction of the onshore substation and the temporary works required to deliver temporary construction compounds and access routes during the construction phase will result in the loss or change of native hedgerow. The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,130m (of which 244m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitments C-220 and C-224 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2))’</p> <p>to:</p> <p>‘‘The installation of the onshore cable, the construction of the onshore substation and the temporary works required to deliver temporary construction compounds and access routes during the construction phase will result in the loss or change of native hedgerow. The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,120m (of which 242m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitments C-220 and C-224 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2))’</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p> <p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines.</p>	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.101	<p>For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.101 is to change from:</p> <p>‘‘In total 42m of the hedgerow to be temporarily lost is deemed to be important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997, with a further 84m of hedgerow considered potentially important (hedgerows where detailed survey data is not available, and where potential to be important cannot be ruled out). No hedgerows to be lost permanently qualify as important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997. The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 378m, with no permanent loss expected’’</p> <p>To:</p> <p>‘‘In total 34m of the hedgerow to be temporarily lost is deemed to be important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 (with regards ecological importance), with a further 90m of hedgerow considered potentially important (hedgerows where</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p>	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		detailed survey data is not available, and where potential to be important cannot be ruled out). No hedgerows to be lost permanently qualify as important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997. The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 412m , with no permanent loss expected"	These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines in Section 22.9 of Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063] .		
Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.104	For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.104 is to change from: "The Proposed Development will lead to a loss of approximately 2,130m of hedgerow / tree line. Although this is a substantial length of hedgerow / tree line it is equivalent to losing the hedgerow from a single field of approximately 25ha and therefore in comparison to an onshore cable corridor of 38.8km it is relatively modest, especially when it is considered that approximately 1,508m will be reinstated" To: "The Proposed Development will lead to a loss of approximately 2,154m of hedgerow / tree line. Although this is a substantial length of hedgerow / tree line it is equivalent to losing the hedgerow from a single field of approximately 25ha and therefore in comparison to an onshore cable corridor of 38.8km it is relatively modest, especially when it is considered that approximately 1,532m will be reinstated"	To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] . These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			(species rich and species poor) and treelines.		
Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.139	<p>For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.139 is to change from:</p> <p>"The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,130m (of which 244m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 378m, with no permanent losses expected. These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitment C-220 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2)). In addition, approximately 0.4ha of woodland and 1ha of scrub will be lost. Together these habitats represent the best habitat for bats within the proposed DCO Order Limits that is to be lost. Although the amount of habitat temporarily and permanently lost is sizeable, it is located along the length of a 38.8km onshore cable route. This means that in any given location the losses are relatively modest. Furthermore, within a core sustenance zone of any individual bat the level of loss will be small in comparison to the available habitat available in adjacent areas for commuting and foraging. It is also noted that, through the implementation of the vegetation retention plan (see commitment C220) and the approach to hedgerow crossings (see commitment C-115), the proposed DCO Order Limits will maintain habitat that can be utilised by bats. In the case of hedgerows, in the majority of instances the hedgerow will remain navigable and usable by bats for commuting and foraging"</p> <p>To:</p> <p>"The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,120m (of which 242m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 412m, with no permanent losses expected. These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitment C-220 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2)). In addition, approximately 0.4ha of woodland and 1ha of scrub will be lost. Together these habitats represent the best habitat for bats within the proposed DCO Order Limits that is to be lost. Although the amount of habitat temporarily and permanently lost is sizeable, it is located along the length of a 38.8km onshore cable route. This means that in any given location the losses are relatively modest. Furthermore, within a core sustenance zone of any individual bat the level of loss will be small in comparison to the available habitat available in</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p> <p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines.</p>	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		adjacent areas for commuting and foraging. It is also noted that, through the implementation of the vegetation retention plan (see commitment C220) and the approach to hedgerow crossings (see commitment C-115), the proposed DCO Order Limits will maintain habitat that can be utilised by bats. In the case of hedgerows, in the majority of instances the hedgerow will remain navigable and usable by bats for commuting and foraging"			
Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Table 20-20	<p>Table 20-20 has been updated specifically with regards Commitment C-115 and C-220. Row C-115 now reads:</p> <p>Hedgerows/tree lines crossed by the cable route will be 'notched' to reduce habitat loss and landscape and heritage impacts wherever possible. This is defined as removing one or more short sections (notches) within the same hedgerow/tree line. The removed sections will by default be replanted except where permanently lost on the Vegetation Retention Plan (see Appendix B – Vegetation Retention Plans in the Outline Code of Construction Practice). Where appropriate, hedgerows will be temporarily translocated to maintain diversity and structure and result in more rapid reinstatement. Hedgerow/tree line losses will be kept to approximately 14m total width at each hedgerow crossing point where notching can take place. For hedgerows deemed "important" under the Hedgerows Regulations 1997 (or where there are other considerations), losses will be reduced to a 6m notch for the temporary construction haul roads only, by trenchless installation of the cable ducts under them wherever possible (see Appendix B-- Vegetation Retention Plans in the Outline Code of Construction Practice for the extent of hedgerow losses at each location).</p> <p>Hedgerows subject to temporary translocation will be lifted using a tree spade to maintain diversity and structure and result in more rapid reinstatement. Where chances of success are questionable, notches will be made by removal and reinstatement through planting. The eCoW will justify the approach being taken in line with the responsibilities of implementing the vegetation retention plan (see C-220).</p> <p>Reinstated hedgerows and tree lines will be monitored over a period of 10 years, and remedial action taken rapidly where signs of failure are identified.</p> <p>Further details are provided in the outline Code of Construction Practice and outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan.</p>	Amendments to these commitments have been made to improve clarity.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		<p>Row C-220 now reads:</p> <p>The Vegetation Retention Plans that accompany the Outline Code of Construction Practice shows hedgerows, tree lines, woodland, scrub, calcareous grassland, semi-improved species rich grassland and, ponds and watercourses which are to be retained. Should any of these highlighted habitats require removal due to unforeseen circumstances at the detailed design phase, they will be highlighted to the relevant competent authority with a reasoned justification provided. These unforeseen, additional losses would be accounted for through commitment C-104 covering the commitment to the provision of biodiversity net gain.</p> <p>The corresponding changes are reflected in the updated Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] that has been provided in the Pre-examination Procedural Deadline A.</p>			
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.172	<p>Paragraph 22.9.172 should note a different number of ponds. The change should be from:</p> <p>'A total of 17 ponds are located within the proposed DCO Order Limits, with a further 247 within 250m of it. Of these ponds 113 were subject to eDNA survey for great crested newts with 36 providing positive results, 31 inconclusive results (assumed on a precautionary basis to be positive) and 46 negative results. Four of the positive results are from waterbodies within the proposed DCO Order Limits (see Appendix 22.7: Great Crested Newt environmental DNA survey report 2021-2023, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.7) for distribution). The desk study (Appendix 22.2: Terrestrial ecology desk study, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.2)) also provided 155 records of great crested newts in the area, demonstrating that they are widespread across the general area.'</p> <p>To:</p> <p>'A total of 13 ponds are located within the proposed DCO Order Limits, with a further 247 within 250m of it. Of these ponds 113 were subject to eDNA survey for great crested newts with 36 providing positive results, 31 inconclusive results (assumed on a precautionary basis to be positive) and 46 negative results. Four of the positive results are from waterbodies within the proposed DCO Order Limits (see Appendix 22.7: Great Crested Newt environmental DNA survey report 2021-2023, Volume 4 of</p>	<p>These Errata correct that there are 13, as opposed to 17, ponds within the proposed Order Limits. Note that there are 17 waterbodies are described in the Great Crested Newt Survey report, because these include 13 ponds and 4 ditches.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Procedural Deadline A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.7) for distribution). The desk study (Appendix 22.2: Terrestrial ecology desk study, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.2)) also provided 155 records of great crested newts in the area, demonstrating that they are widespread across the general area.'			
Environmental Statement - Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-194]	Table 7-8	Table 7-8 states for trees (column title 'Feature Reference) T-609, T-611, T-613 and T-617 could be lost should the trenchless crossing compound TC-22a be used in this location. It has been confirmed that these trees would be retained in all situations, including when allowing access and therefore these rows should be deleted from Table 7-8 .	These Errata correct that the status of trees at TCC-22a.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
Environmental Statement - Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-194]	Table 8-5	Table 8-5 , in row detailing 'Species mix reference C' is to be updated to remove the following species; 1. <i>Quercus cerris</i> - Turkey oak 2. <i>Quercus ilex</i> - Holm oak 3. <i>Quercus x turneri</i> ' <i>Pseudoturneri</i> ' - Turners oak	Change requested by WSCC to avoid planting of three species of non-native tree that can spread to unwanted areas. Table 8-5 is to exclude these so that they are not included within any future stage specific Landscape and Ecology Management Plans.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 – Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]	Table 1-1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PROW-1de-06 – should be referenced as FP2163/1 • PROW-1de-21 – should read as FP2260/1 • PROW-1ed-24 – should read as Restricted Byway 2092 • PROW-1ed-25 – should read as Restricted Byway 2693 • PROW-1dw-24 – should read as Bridleway 2282/1 	Following WSCC engagement.	Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 – Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] as updated at	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				Procedural Deadline A. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	
Rampion 2 6.4.4.1 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 4.1 Crossing schedule [APP-122]	Table 1-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PROW-1de-06 – should be referenced as FP2163/1 • PROW-1de-21 – should read as FP2260/1 • PROW-1ed-24 – should read as Restricted Byway 2092 • PROW-1ed-25 – should read as Restricted Byway 2693 • PROW-1dw-24 – should read as Bridleway 2282/1 	Following WSCC engagement.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
Rampion 2 ES Volume 2 - Chapter 19 Air quality [APP-060]	Table 19-28	<p>Row 4, column B to be updated to:</p> <p>Landfall: Construction compound of 100m × 120m Trenchless Crossings (TCs) to be used at landfall to connect offshore cables with the onshore cable corridor.</p> <p>Onshore cable corridor: Up to 40m wide corridor for construction works, including four trenches, temporary spoil heaps, and access track; this may be expanded at discrete locations to accommodate working area for example for trenchless crossings (see Chapter 4: The Proposed Development, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.4)). Onshore cable is approximately 38.8km long. Temporary construction compounds and laydown areas as shown within the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits. Trenchless crossing sites as detailed in Chapter 4: The Proposed Development, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.4). Total installation duration up to three years.</p>	Update to Table 19-28 Maximum parameters and assessment assumptions for impacts on air quality (Corrections made: Oakendene substation maximum main building height corrected from 12m to 12.5m, NG Bolney	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		<p>Oakendene onshore substation: Overall built site footprint: 6 hectares within the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits. Maximum number of buildings 12. Maximum main building height 12.5m. Duration of construction three years. Existing National Grid Bolney substation extension works: Overall built site footprint: 0.63 hectares within the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits. Maximum number of buildings 2. Maximum main building height 12m. Duration of construction up to one year.</p>	<p>substation overall built size corrected from 0.7ha to 0.63ha, and maximum number of buildings corrected from 1 to 2). Corrections to be made for consistency and do not have any impact on the assessment previously undertaken.</p>		
<p>Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 - Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads assessment [APP-196]</p>	<p>Various: Para 1.1.4 Para 2.1.7 Para 5.1.1</p>	<p>Para 1.1.4: The AIL Assessment considers the requirement to deliver four transformers and six shunt reactors, and as such there will be ten AIL deliveries all to the onshore substation site at Oakendene.</p> <p>Para 2.1.7: The largest component requiring delivery to the onshore substation will be transformers. It is expected that there will four transformers required at the onshore substation. The weight of plant considered in the AIL Assessment is based on the currently assumed maximum weight of a transformer of 272 tonnes with an envelope of 12m long x 4.4m wide x 5m high.</p> <p>Para 5.1.1: The movement of AILs are low in number (approximately ten), will be spread over a number of days, and is expected to result in no more than one movement in any one day, potentially during the night. The port of entry will be Shoreham Port for the transformers and shunt reactors and the delivery routes of the AILs will use established routes but there will be consultation with National Highways, local highway authorities and local planning authorities.</p>	<p>Update to the number of AIL movements from 9 to 10 total (number of transformers increased from 3 to 4). This change results in an update to the description however no change to the assessment is required.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Procedural Deadline A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 - Appendix 23.2: Traffic Generation Technical Note [APP-197]	Bullet point after Para 5.2.14	Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AILs) will be comprised of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Four transformers; and six shunt reactors. Temporary construction and operational accesses. 	Update to the number of AIL movements from 9 to 10 total. This change results in an update to the description however no change to the assessment is required.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]	Para 5.6.20	Incorrect reference at Para 5.6.20 to the Outline Construction Method Statement (should be Document Reference: 7.23, NOT 7.10).	To correct typographical error of Para 5.6.20. and confirm whether there is any risk assessment for AWL in the OCMS	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] updated for PINs Procedural Deadline A Submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice Appendix B -	Appendix B, Figures 7.2.1 a-k.	The Hedgerow retention and treeline retention plan (Figure 7.2.1 a - k) has been updated following a review to ensure consistency with the assessment within the Environmental Statement Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation and Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan. The following hedgerow / tree lines have had their status changed:	Following a review to ensure consistency with the assessment within the	Figure 7.2.1 and Figure 7.2.2 updated and Additional	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Vegetation Retention Plans. [APP-224]		<p>H27 (Figure 7.2.1b)– temporary loss of 6m (loss not previously accounted for) H146a (Figure 7.2.1f) - temporary loss of 14m (loss not previously accounted for) H168 (Figure 7.2.1g) – temporary loss of 6m (loss reduced from 14m to 6m) H257 (Figure 7.2.1h) – temporary loss of 28m (loss increased by 14m as two crossings of same hedgerow) H456 (Figure 7.2.1k) - temporary loss of 6m (loss not previously accounted for) W544 (Figure 7.2.1k) - temporary loss of 20m (loss not previously accounted for) W557 (Figure 7.2.1j)- temporary loss of 14m (loss not previously accounted for)</p> <p>W591 (Figure 7.2.2f) - updated to cover full area of woodland which is crossed by trenchless crossing.</p> <p>In addition a new set of figures has been added to Appendix B of the Outline Code of Construction Practice -- this is a Pond retention plan (Figure 7.2.5)</p>	Environmental Statement Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063] and Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-224] .	<p>Figure 7.2.5 has been added and submitted as part of the Procedural Deadline A submissions.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	
7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]	Table 5-7	C-71 wording in the CoCP is not correct to the latest version of the Commitments Register. The following sentence to be added to the commitment wording: "This will be informed by targeted ground investigation, in line with the findings of the Phase 1 Desk Study."	To align with update to Commitments Register	<p>Table 5-7 has been updated in the 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] updated for Procedural Deadline A submission.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2:</p>	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	
Rampion 2 Outline Code of Construction Practice -- Appendix A -- Crossing Schedule [APP-224]	Table 1-1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PROW-1de-06 – should be referenced as FP2163/1 • PROW-1de-21 – should read as FP2260/1 • PROW-1ed-24 – should read as Restricted Byway 2092 • PROW-1ed-25 – should read as Restricted Byway 2693 • PROW-1dw-24 – should read as Bridleway 2282/1 	To correct typographical error	Updated Appendix A Crossing Schedule [APP-224] Table 1-1 with corrections identified by West Sussex County Council COCP update for Procedural Deadline A. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	6.5.9, Table 6-2	Table 6-2 has formatting issues, duplication and is missing some accesses/traffic numbers.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				[APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.	
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Appendix B Figures 7.6.1a and 7.6.1b , and 7.6.2a and 7.6.2b , 7.6.4c , and 7.6.6a and 7.6.6b , 7.6.9a and 7.6.9b	Figures in appendix B are incorrectly cropped in some instances excluding certain areas of the works. Figures will have been updated to resolved cropping issue.	To correct typographical error	Figures updated to resolve cropping issue for and submitted with the pre-examination deadline and Procedural Deadline A submission errata. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table 4-3	Outline Construction Management Plan, Table 4-3 – For A-15 and A-16 the speed limit on the Lyminster by-pass is indicated as 30mph. The planning drawings for the proposed road however indicate the speed limit will be 50mph in the indicative access locations. For A-42, the speed limit is 60mph rather than 50mph. For A-44, A-45, A-46 and A-47 the speed limit is 60mph rather than 40mph. For A-25, A-27, A-43 the speed limit is also 60mph rather than 30mph.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table 6-2 Page 47	Table 6.2 duplicates the first two pages in the second half and requires amendment. Need to correct.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table 6-2 Page 47	Outline Construction Management Plan, 6.5.8, Table 6-2 – The wording within 6.5.8 indicates that the totals within Table 6-2 are for the entire construction phase. However, Table 6-2 includes the wording '2 way total per week'. This is misleading and should be corrected. Transport team to review and confirm table can be updated independent of modelling.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				<p>224] for Deadline A.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	
<p>7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]</p>	<p>Table 4-4</p>	<p>Table 4-4 needs to be amended to reflect the fact that LGV refers to Light Goods Vehicles that are less than 3.5t and HGVs are goods vehicles heavier than 3.5t.</p>	<p>To correct typographical error</p>	<p>Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	<p>Procedural Deadline A</p>
<p>7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan</p>	<p>Table 5-2 (No.3)</p>	<p>Outline CTMP refers to Wineham Lane as single track, the terminology under DMRB should be single two lane carriageway.</p>	<p>To correct typographical error</p>	<p>Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic</p>	<p>Procedural Deadline A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
[APP-224]				<p>Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	
7.8 Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [APP-230]	Para 5.3.9, Page 33	<p>Text to be updated from: "PRoW users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction traffic...."</p> <p>To: "Where it is safe to do so all construction traffic will give-way to PRoW users. However, very occasionally PRoW users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction traffic...."</p>	<p>Public access rights will be maintained where it is safe to do so to reflect the shared usage of such PRoW during the construction period. In limited occurrences, however, construction traffic may need to proceed to clear to the route so it is available for the safe passage of members of the public.</p>	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
7.8 Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [APP-230]	Para 5.3.12, Page 34	Text to be updated from: "PRoW users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...." To: "Where it is safe to do so all construction traffic will give-way to PRoW users. However, very occasionally PRoW users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...."	Public access rights will be maintained where it is safe to do so to reflect the shared usage of the PRoW during the construction period. In limited occurrences, however, construction traffic may need to proceed to clear to the route so it is available for the safe passage of members of the public.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
7.8 Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [APP-230]	Para 5.3.15, Page 34	Text to be updated from: "PRoW users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...." To: "Where it is safe to do so all construction traffic will give-way to PRoW users. However, very occasionally PRoW users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...."	Public access rights will be maintained where it is safe to do so to reflect the shared usage of the PRoW during the construction period. In limited occurrences, however, construction traffic many need	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			to proceed to clear to the route so it is available for the safe passage of members of the public.		
7.10 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan [APP-232]	Para 2.6.6, Page 13	Current text reads: 'Species selection will be confirmed as part of the stage specific LEMP and will be restricted to the use of native species selected from Table 2-1. ' Clarification: 'Species selection will be confirmed as part of the stage specific LEMP and will include the use of native species selected from Table 2-1. Where appropriate non-native species listed in Table 8-5 of the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Environmental Statement, Appendix 22.16) may be included.'	A clarification has been provided to ensure consistency with Environmental Statement Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	First sentence in Paragraph 26.6.27, Page 93	To update the text from: '...the confluence of Adur Knepp and Blakes Gill near West Grinstead (NGR TQ 168206) towards its discharge into the non-tidal Arun to the west of Henfield (NGR TQ 194166).'To: '...the confluence of Adur Knepp and Blakes Gill near West Grinstead (NGR TQ 168206) towards its discharge into the non-tidal River Adur to the west of Henfield (NGR TQ 194166).'	To correct some erroneous references to the River Arun where there should only be reference to the River Adur	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	First sentence in Paragraph 26.6.28, Page 93	To update the text from: '...channel flows for approximately 6.6km in a south-westerly direction from the Goddards Green branch near Twineham Green towards its discharge point into the tidal Arun.' To: '...channel flows for approximately 6.6km in a south-westerly direction from the Goddards Green branch near Twineham Green towards its discharge point into the tidal Arun.'	To correct some erroneous references to the River Arun where there should only be reference to the River Adur	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2,	Third sentence in Paragraph 26.6.30, Page 93	To update the text from: '...the confluence of Adur Knepp and Blakes Gill near West Grinstead (NGR TQ 168206) towards its discharge into the non-tidal Arun to the west of Henfield (NGR TQ 194166).'	To correct some erroneous references to the River Arun where	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]		To: '...the confluence of Adur Knepp and Blakes Gill near West Grinstead (NGR TQ 168206) towards its discharge into the non-tidal River Adur to the west of Henfield (NGR TQ 194166).'	there should only be reference to the River Adur.		
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	Table 26-32, Page 213	Lyminster Bypass scheme to be added as new row to table 26-32. Column 1 (ID) : "59" Column 2 (Development type): "Highways - creation of a 1.1km highway, with shared cycleway and footway, Pegasus crossing, viaduct, culvert, wetland areas, balancing pond and swales, street lighting and associated works" Column 3 (Development name): "Lyminster Bypass Scheme" Column 4 (Application reference): "WSCC/049/18/LY" Column 5 (Status): "Approved - under construction" Column 6 (Confidence in assessment): "High" Column 7 (Tier): "1" Column 8 (Distance to Rampion 2 (m)): "The site is intersected by the proposed DCO Order Limits between Crossbush and Wick. A simple qualitative level of assessment is carried out (in Table 26-34) on the basis that a successful implementation of embedded and standard good industry practice measures will offset any potential significant effects."	The EA made the following comment in their relevant representations (2.6.5): The Lyminster bypass, currently being built, is not mentioned in cumulative effects although the proposed cable route intersects with this. We would like it to be acknowledged in the documents that contact has been made with the developers as this is now more pertinent than the A27 bypass which is currently on hold.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26:	Table 26-34, Page 234	Lyminster Bypass scheme to be added as new row to Table 26-34 . Column 1 (Project): "ID 59: Lyminster Bypass Scheme" Column 2 (Discussion): "The overall site area is approximately 13ha, which in the	The EA made the following comment in their relevant reps (2.6.5): The	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Water environment [APP-067]		<p>context of the Black Ditch catchment of 2,821ha is small. The development intersects the proposed DCO Order Limits, however site completion is currently forecasted for Autumn 2024, therefore it is unlikely that the potential impacts from the schemes will overlap. It is assumed that best practice measures will be successfully implemented on their site, along with other mitigation measures set out within the Flood Risk Assessment (WSP, 2018) to ensure there are no significant effects. On this basis, there is no potential for significant cumulative effects to arise."</p> <p>Column 3 (Likely significant cumulative effect?): "No"</p>	<p>Lyminster bypass, currently being built, is not mentioned in cumulative effects although the proposed cable route intersects with this. We would like it to be acknowledged in the documents that contact has been made with the developers as this is now more pertinent than the A27 bypass which is currently on hold.</p>		
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	Section 26.16, Page 258	<p>Add reference for Lyminster Bypass 2019 Planning Application on page 258. Text to be added: "WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited, (2018). Lyminster Bypass 2019 Planning Application, NPPF Flood Risk Assessment [online]. Available at: https://westsussex.planning-register.co.uk/Planning/Display/WSCC/049/18/LY [Accessed 30/11/2023]."</p>	<p>The EA made the following comment in their relevant reps (2.6.5): The Lyminster bypass, currently being built, is not mentioned in cumulative effects although the proposed cable route intersects with</p>	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			this. We would like it to be acknowledged in the documents that contact has been made with the developers as this is now more pertinent than the A27 bypass which is currently on hold.		
7.10 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan [APP-232]	Para 1.2.6, Page 6	<p>Paragraph 1.2.6 should be changed from:</p> <p>'The stage specific LEMPs shall also include planting specifications, plant schedules (detailing number of plants / density / size and species), landscape programme of works (including targeted planting seasons and advance planting opportunities) and a landscape management plan (including maintenance and monitoring) to cover years one to ten to ensure the establishment of the landscape proposals.'</p> <p>To:</p> <p>'The stage specific LEMPs shall also include planting specifications, plant schedules (detailing number of plants / density / size and species), landscape programme of works (including targeted planting seasons and advance planting opportunities) and a landscape management plan (including maintenance and monitoring) (that are also in accordance with Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-194] to cover years one to ten to ensure the establishment of the landscape proposals.'</p>	A clarification has been provided to ensure consistency with Environmental Statement Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.12 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 12 Offshore and	Paragraph 12.13.122	Amend the predicted annual number of collisions for herring gull due to the Project alone from 634 to 63.	NE made the following comment in their RR: The predicted annual number of	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
intertidal ornithology [APP-053]			collisions for herring gull due to the Project alone is stated here to be 634, and the PVA has been carried out on that basis. Previously, in paragraph 12.13.122 , it was given as 63.		
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Table 11-13	Amend the number of pin pile foundations required for offshore substations to 36.	NE made the following comment in their RR: There is a discrepancy in the number of pin pile foundations required for offshore substations; both 18 and 36 are listed in this table.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Table 11-31	Amend the percentage of the Management Unit (MU) affected from: '1.6% of the bottlenose dolphin MU and 0.6% of the common dolphin MU.' To: '0.72% of the bottlenose dolphin MU and 0.35% of the common dolphin MU.'	NE made the following comment in their RR: The percentage of the Management Unit (MU) affected does not tally with the number of individuals affected for	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			bottlenose dolphin and common dolphin.		
6.4.11.1 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 11.1 Marine mammal baseline technical report [APP-147]	Table 8-1, Figure 8.1	Amend errors in Table 8.1. The Table should state there were 7 sightings of unidentified seal species: 3 in July 2019, 1 in December 2019, 1 in February 2020, 1 in March 2020 and 1 in August 2020. The total number of 9 sightings in Figure 8.1 is correct when considering the total number of seal species identified: 7 unidentified seal species sightings and the 2 sightings of grey seals that were positively identified.	NE made the following comment in their RR: There appear to be 9 sightings on this figure, yet Table 8-1 only list a total count of 5. This difference should be clarified.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.4.11.2 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 11.2 Marine mammal quantitative underwater noise impact assessment [APP-148]	Paragraph 2.3.3, 2.3.4 page 13	Amend 116 turbines to 90 turbines.	NE made the following comment in their RR: This document does not appear to have been updated with the revised Maximum Design Scenario (MDS) e.g. it still refers to 116 turbines. All documents should reflect the submitted MDS.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish	Table 8-12	Amend the maximum duration of piling to 4.5 hours piling per pile	NE made the following comment in their RR: We note that there are inconsistencies	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
ecology [APP-049]			between the worst-case scenario presented here in terms of piling and in Appendix 11.3, particularly in relation to the maximum duration of piling. These inconsistencies exist across all areas of the project and must be rectified.		
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Table 8-12	Amend cable length given in table from 10km to 40km.	NE made the following comment in their RR: We note that for the interconnector cable the 'maximum rock protection area for interconnector cables (based on 20% of 10km cable requiring protection) = 122,000m ² '. However, we note in the project description chapter the	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			length of cables is 40km rather than 10km		
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Paragraph 8.6.81 , page 81	Paragraph 8.6.81 states that "Area 453 and 488 (for which a seasonal restriction on activities was required for the period April to June inclusive)". This is incorrect and should state that for Area 453: "All licensed activities must not be undertaken in the Eastern Section of Area 453 (Zone B) between 1st April and 31st July inclusive, and in the Western Section of Area 453 (Zone A) between 1st April and 30th June". Note, the text for Area 488 remains the same.	NE made the following comment in their RR: The current licence conditions for Area 453 are incorrectly stated.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
7.17 Rampion 2 In Principle Sensitive Features Mitigation Plan (IPSFMP) [APP-239]	Paragraph 5.3.3	Amend reference of records of seahorses in the "south-western" region, to "south-eastern".	NE made the following comment in their RR: This incorrectly refers to records of seahorses in the south-western region, whereas Rampion 2 is in the south-east.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
7.15 Draft Unexploded Ordnance Clearance Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol [APP-237]	Table 3-1, 5.5.2	Amend SPLpeak PTS range for VHF cetaceans and the 525 kg charge weight from 2.5km to 13km.	The MMO made the following comment in their RR: Please note that there is a mistake in Table 3-1 and the predicted SPLpeak PTS range for VHF cetaceans and the 525 kg charge weight is 13 km (and not 2.5 km)	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
7.15 Draft Unexploded Ordnance Clearance Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol [APP-237]	Table 4-1, 5.5.3/5.5.4	Amend "underwear noise" to "underwater noise" in Table 4-1 under C-275.	The MMO made the following comment in their RR: MMO recommends the Applicant change "underwear noise" to "underwater noise" in Table 4-1 under C-275.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Table 11-34	Amend the distance given for the two rows (O1 and O2) titled Johnston Oil and Gas from "unknown" to "390km".	The distance was previously stated as "unknown" in the table.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Figure 11-4, 11.12.3 , page 108	The document reference number for Figure 11-4 in paragraph 11.12.3 has been omitted. After "Figure 11-4" document reference 6.3.11 should be stated.	Document reference was previously omitted.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	11.12.4 , page 108	Paragraph 11.12.4 states "Appendix 5.5: Cumulative effects assessment shortlisted developments, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.5.5)", this should state " Appendix 5.4: Cumulative effects assessment shortlisted developments, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.5.4)".	Incorrect document number stated in document.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
6.2.15 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 15 Seascape, landscape and visual impact assessment [APP-056]	Table 15-36	Amend "Assessment of residual effect (significance)" for Viewpoint 8: Brighton sea front promenade" from "Significant Major/moderate in EIA terms, direct, long-term, reversible." to "Significant Major in EIA terms, direct, long-term, reversible".	Incorrect assessment of residual effect (significance) transposed into Table 15-36 from 6.4.15.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 15.4 Viewpoint assessment [APP-160] .	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.4.22.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 4, Appendix 22.8: Passive and active bat activity report [APP-186]	Table of Contents - List of Annexes: Annex A Figures	Figure references in the Table of Contents - List of Annexes: Annex A Figures, to be amended from ' Figures 28.15.1 to 28.15.29 ' to ' Figures 22.8.1 to Figure 22.8.29 '.	To reflect correct figure reference.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2d (Page 9) [APP-106]	Figure 21.2d (Page 9)	Label text to be amended from "HDD-26-NML25" to "HDD-32-NML26"	To correct text from error in document.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				Deadline A Submission Documents.	
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2e [APP-106]	Figure 21.2e	New monitoring location (TC12-NML41) to be added reflective of the monitoring undertaken between 15 - 17 November 2023.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2d [APP-106]	Figure 21.2d	New monitoring location (TC07-NML40) to be added reflective of the monitoring undertaken between 15 - 17 November 2023.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2b, 21.2c [APP-106]	Figure 21.2b, 21.2c	Label text for HDD-03-NML3 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2k, 21.2l [APP-106]	Figure 21.2k, 21.2l	Label text for OPP-NML1 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
6.3.21 Environmental Statement -	Figure 21.2a	Label text for CC1-NML31 is missing	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2a [APP-106]				submission Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2f [APP-106]	Figure 21.2f	Label text for CC3-NML32 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and	Figure 21.2k, 21.2l	Label text for CC5-NML33 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Vibration - Figure 21.2k, 21.2l [APP-106]				Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-8	Amend text to correct stakeholder reference.	To reference correct stakeholder.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.21 Environmental Statement -	Table 21-29	Amend table to align with the correct receptors for these trenchless crossings.	To reflect correct receptors.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]				<p>Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-30	Amend table to align with the correct receptors for these trenchless crossings.	To reflect correct receptors.	<p>Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2:</p>	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-29	Amend text to correct receptor address.	To reflect correct receptor address.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission. Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.	Procedural Deadline A
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-30	Amend text to correct receptor address.	To reflect correct receptor address.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
				<p>Deadline A submission.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	
<p>6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]</p>	<p>Table 21-30</p>	<p>Amend table to reflect correct BS 5228 assessment threshold category B.</p>	<p>Reflect correct BS 5228 assessment threshold category B.</p>	<p>Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>	<p>Procedural Deadline A</p>
<p>7.22 Commitments</p>	<p>Table 1-1, Commitment reference C-169</p>	<p>Text in the 'Commitment Description' for Commitment Reference C-169 to be amended from: 'RED will provide designs for permanent accesses required on the project will be</p>	<p>To correct error in grammar in document.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Procedural Deadline A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Register [APP-254]		<p>provided to Department for Transport (DfT) Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) design standards.'</p> <p>To: 'RED will provide designs for permanent accesses required on the project, which will be provided to Department for Transport (DfT) Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) design standards.'</p>			
6.2.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 4 The Proposed Development [APP-045]	Table 4-19	<p>The 3rd row of Table 4-19, the maximum value for 'Corridor width: permanent easement' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 11th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'Number of cables (including fibre optics)' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 12th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'Number of ducts (including fibre optics)' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 13th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'Number trenches' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 15th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'HVAC: number of cable circuits' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 16th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'HVAC: number of cables' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p>	To correct formatting error in document.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
6.4.4.3 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 4.3 Proposed Development Parameters [APP-124]	Table 1-1	<p>The 56th row of Table 1-1, the 'Corridor width: permanent (easement)' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 57th row of Table 1-1, the 'Number of cables (including fibre optics)' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 58th row of Table 1-1, the 'Number of ducts (including fibre optics)' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 59th row of Table 1-1, the 'Number of trenches' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 60th row of Table 1-1, the 'HVAC: number of cable circuits' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 61st row of Table 1-1, the 'HVAC: number of cables' row should be deleted from the table.</p>	To correct error in document.	N/A	Procedural Deadline A
Deadline 1					
6.2.20 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 20 Soils and agriculture [APP-061]	Paragraph 20.6.25, new paragraph 20.6.26	<p>Paragraph 20.6.25 to be amended from:</p> <p>"There is no post-1988 ALC mapping within the proposed DCO Order Limits."</p> <p>To:</p> <p>"There is an area of post-1988 ALC mapping within the proposed DCO Order Limits. in the northwest of Littlehampton (at 501169, 103914) (Natural England, 2016). The report and accompanying plan detail the findings of a 1998 ALC survey of 76.4ha of land, of which approximately 16.0ha is within the proposed DCO Order Limits. Approximately 13.0ha of this is covered in the ALC survey report for Rampion 2</p>	The post 1988 ALC data for the land northwest of Littlehampton was omitted from the ES chapter. The data in the current baseline in Section 20.6 requires minor amendments to	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		<p>(Appendix 20.1: Detailed Agricultural Land Classification Report, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.20.1). The ALC grades found in the 1988 survey (ref 4202\056\98) where it is coincident within the DCO Order Limits are Grade 2 and Subgrade 3b. The 1998 survey was undertaken by the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency on behalf of MAFF and is recorded by the authors to have been completed in accordance with the MAFF ALC guidelines (MAFF, 1988). The ALC survey for Rampion 2 found the same ALC grades but with different extents to the 1998 survey, as described below.</p> <p>I The Rampion 2 ALC survey found 4.0ha of land in the north of the area surveyed for the 1998 report to be Grade 2, whereas the 1998 report (ref 4202\056\98, Natural England, 2016) records it as Subgrade 3b.</p> <p>I In the northwest of the land covered in 1998 survey (ref 4202\056\98) there is an area of approximately 3.0ha which is within the proposed DCO Order Limits but was not covered in the ALC survey completed to date for Rampion 2, this is shown in the 1998 survey findings as Subgrade 3b.</p> <p>I In the south of the 1998 survey area, an area of approximately 2.0ha Grade 2 land is recorded which was identified as Subgrade 3b in the ALC survey for Rampion 2. Review of the observation points confirms that no observation points in the Rampion 2 ALC survey coincided with this portion of land. The variation in findings therefore appears to due to the observation points being in different locations during the two surveys. It is reasonable to expect a degree of variability in physical characteristics within a discrete area and ALC grades are assigned in part based on the soil observations on an approximately one point per hectare grid.</p> <p>I For the purposes of the assessment the maximum possible Grade 2 extent has been applied. This is summarised in Table 20 14."</p> <p>New paragraph:</p> <p>20.6.26 Land within the proposed DCO Order Limits east of Washington crosses two areas (at 512951, 113272, and 513663, 113391) where post 1988 ALC survey data is available, the surveys, which are almost adjacent to one another, overlap 1.3ha and 4.2ha of land within the proposed DCO Order Limits, respectively. The surveys date from 1993 (Natural England, 2016b, and Natural England, 2016c) and within the proposed DCO Order Limits they record Grade 2, Subgrade 3b, Subgrade 3a and</p>	<p>Table 20-14 to correct the stated areas of ALC grades by data source and their relative percentage of the proposed Order Limits.</p>		

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		Grade 4 land. With the exception of 0.7ha of land which was found in the survey to be Subgrade 3b (Natural England, 2016b), the ALC surveys completed for Rampion 2 include all of the land covered by the 1993 surveys, and found the same ALC grades with similar extents. Where the recent survey data is available, this has been used for the calculations in Table 20 14. However, the 0.7ha of land identified as Subgrade 3b in the post 1988 survey has been included in Table 20 14, as this supersedes its provisional classification of Grade 3.			
6.2.20 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 20 Soils and agriculture [APP-061]	Table 20-14	<p>Table 20-14 now appended to Cover Letter Appendix 2 to this letter requires some amendments to reflect the inclusion of the post-1988 ALC survey information added to paragraphs 20.6.25 and 20.6.26.</p> <p>The changes are minor adjustments to the stated ALC grades associated with each data source, the total areas of specific ALC grades and their relative percentages of the total area within the proposed DCO Order Limits, and updates to the commentary for post 1988 ALC data. The changes apply to the 'ALC Grade', 'Area within proposed DCO Order limits (with % of total area) ' and 'Commentary' columns.</p>	<p>The post 1988 ALC data for the land northwest of Littlehampton was omitted from the ES chapter. The inclusion of this data in the current baseline in Section 20.6 requires minor amendments to Table 20-14 to correct the stated areas of ALC grades by data source and their relative percentage of the proposed Order Limits.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>
6.2.20 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 20 Soils and agriculture [APP-061]	20.6.30 (now 20.6.31)	<p>Changes to percentage and areas in bullets (old text shown as strike through):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the total area of Grade 2 land within the proposed DCO Order Limits is likely to be in the region of 126.1 128.5ha (22 23 percent); the total area of Subgrade 3a land within the proposed DCO Order Limits, based on all provisional Grade 3 being counted as possible Subgrade 3a, is likely to be in the region of 203.7 200.0ha (36 35 percent). This potentially over-states Subgrade 3a based on survey data so far which has found provisional Grade 3 	<p>The post 1988 ALC data for the land northwest of Littlehampton was omitted from the ES chapter. The inclusion of this data in the</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		<p>land to be predominantly Subgrade 3b rather than 3a, but also considers the potential for some Grade 2 to be present;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the confirmed area of Subgrade 3b is 153.5 154.2ha (27 percent); and the total area of Grade 4 land within the proposed DCO Order Limits is likely to be in the region of 74.9 75.3ha (13 percent). 	current baseline in Section 20.6 requires minor amendments to the stated areas of ALC grades by and their relative percentage of the proposed Order Limits.		
6.2.20 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 20 Soils and agriculture [APP-061]	20.6.31 (now 20.6.32)	<p>Change to area (old text shown as strike through): 20.6.31 20.6.32 Based on available survey data, supplemented with the provisional ALC grades, the combined total for Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a is 329.8 328.5ha, 58 percent of the Proposed DCO Order Limits (as detailed in the commentary in Table 20 14). This may over-state the total area of BMV land, as it applies Subgrade 3a to all provisional Grade 3 land).</p>	The post 1988 ALC data for the land northwest of Littlehampton was omitted from the ES chapter. The inclusion of this data in the current baseline in Section 20.6 requires minor amendments to the stated areas of ALC grades by and their relative percentage of the proposed Order Limits.	N/A	Deadline 1
6.2.20 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 20 Soils and agriculture [APP-061]	20.6.32 (now 20.6.33)	<p>Changes to percentages (old text shown as strike through): 20.6.32 20.6.33 The onshore cable trenching will largely take place on agricultural land and the estimated percentage breakdown of areas of ALC grades for the onshore cable corridor based on the ALC survey information and other sources is: approximately 22 23 percent Grade 2, approximately 36 35 percent Subgrade 3a, approximately 27 percent Subgrade 3b, and approximately 13 percent Grade 4 (plus approximately 2 percent non agricultural or urban). Based on this, an ALC grade of Subgrade 3a is applied for the combined onshore cable corridor.</p>	The post 1988 ALC data for the land northwest of Littlehampton was omitted from the ES chapter. The inclusion of this data in the current baseline	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			in Section 20.6 requires minor amendments to the stated areas of ALC grades by and their relative percentage of the proposed Order Limits.		
6.2.20 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 20 Soils and agriculture [APP-061]	Section 20-15	<p>New references to be added:</p> <p>Natural England (2016a) Agricultural Land Classification detailed Post 1988 ALC survey, Littlehampton, Court Wick Park (Arun DLP Objector Sites) (ALCR05698) [online]. Available at: https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5991422981832704. [Accessed 23 January 2024].</p> <p>Natural England (2016b) Agricultural Land Classification detailed Post 1988 ALC survey, Washington, South Of A283, Rock Common (West Sussex Minerals Site 16) (ALCR24493). [Online]. Available at: https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/4536775725285376. [Accessed 23 January 2024].</p> <p>Natural England (2016c) Agricultural Land Classification detailed Post 1988 ALC survey, Washington, Lower Chancton Farm (West Sussex Minerals Site 31) (ALCR24793). [Online]. Available at: https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5095700690370560. [Accessed 23 January 2024].</p>	The post 1988 ALC data for the land northwest of Littlehampton was omitted from the ES chapter. The inclusion of this data in the current baseline in Section 20.6 requires additional references.	N/A	Deadline 1
6.4.25.7 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 25.7 Settings assessment scoping report [APP-213]	Paragraph 3.1.4	<p>Paragraph 3.1.4 first sentence to be updated from:</p> <p>"For the purposes of assessing the significance of effects through change to setting as a result of the onshore substation at Oakendene near Cowfold, heritage assets considered in the Step 1 Assessment (Section 5, Table 5-2 Step 1 Assessment: Onshore substation at Oakendene near Cowfold) are those which fall within 2km of the existing National Grid Bolney substation extension."</p> <p>To:</p> <p>"For the purposes of assessing the significance of effects through change to setting as a result of the onshore substation at Oakendene near Cowfold, heritage assets</p>	To correct text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		considered in the Step 1 Assessment (Section 5, Table 5-2 Step 1 Assessment: Onshore substation at Oakendene near Cowfold) are those which fall within 2km of the Onshore substation at Oakendene."			
7.4 Outline Soils Management Plan [APP-226]	Paragraph 5.2.7	Paragraph 5.2.7 to be amended from: "Topsoil and subsoil resources should be stripped and stored separately in low bunds (up to 4m high), avoiding over-compaction." To: "Topsoil and subsoil resources will be stripped separately and stored in separate low bunds, of up to 3m in height for topsoil, and up to 5m in height for subsoil. The maximum bund / stockpile height within the above ranges will be defined in the stage specific SMPs, considering the soil's resilience to compaction, to avoiding over-compaction of the soil types present. Subsoil stockpiles / bunds are not to be covered with topsoil to avoid mixing of topsoil and subsoil."	Update in response to relevant representation from Natural England.	N/A	Deadline 1
7.4 Outline Soils Management Plan [APP-226]	Paragraph 5.2.18	New paragraph to be added as follows: 5.2.18 Stockpile locations will be defined in a Soil Resource Plan (see paragraph 1.2.6). Stockpiles must be located outside the root or crown spread of trees, and must not be located adjacent to ditches, watercourses or existing or future excavations.	Update in response to relevant representation from Natural England.	N/A	Deadline 1
7.4 Outline Soils Management Plan [APP-226]	Paragraph 7.1.3	Paragraph 7.1.3 to be amended from: "The Defra Construction Code of Practice (Defra, 2009) advises a period of between one and five years for aftercare, and notes that even where soil compaction has not occurred it can take between one and three years for the soil structure to stabilise and provide the necessary drainage and aeration for plant roots and the soil's fauna and flora to function properly. The period of aftercare and reinstatement standard (where the aftercare phase will be deemed to be complete) is to be defined in the stage specific SMP." To: "The Defra Construction Code of Practice (Defra, 2009) advises a period of between one and five years for aftercare, and notes that even where soil compaction has not occurred it can take between one and three years for the soil structure to stabilise and provide the necessary drainage and aeration for plant roots and the soil's fauna and flora to function properly. The period of aftercare and reinstatement standard (where the aftercare phase will be deemed to be complete) is to be defined in the stage specific SMP. Where the need for remedial action to address soil compaction is	Update in response to relevant representation from Natural England.	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		identified during the aftercare phase, decompaction measures will be designed to reflect the depth of compaction observed. The proposed decompaction strategy for the soil types present will be outlined in the stage specific SMPs."			
7.4 Outline Soils Management Plan [APP-226]	Insert paragraphs 3.1.2 - 3.1.4 (existing 3.1.2 becomes 3.1.5)	<p>New paragraphs to be inserted as follows:</p> <p>3.1.2 The ALC survey to date (Appendix A: Soil and Agricultural Land Classification Report (Land Research Associates Limited, 2023)) has identified best and most versatile land as summarised below by area and percentage of the total proposed DCO Order Limits. The ALC Survey has therefore confirmed that at least 13% of the land within the proposed DCO Order Limits is BMV land.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 53.5ha of Grade 2 land, equivalent to 9%. 22.1ha of Subgrade 3a, equivalent to 4%. No Grade 1 land has been observed. <p>3.1.3 In addition, post 1988 ALC survey (Natural England, 2016) has identified a further 2ha of Grade 2 land within the DCO Order Limits.</p> <p>3.1.4 As reported in Chapter 20: Soils and agriculture, Volume 2, using available provisional ALC data to supplement the available survey data reported in Appendix A: Detailed Agricultural Land Classification Report (Land Research Associates Limited, 2023) of the ES, and post 1988 ALC data, the total area of Grade 2 land within the proposed DCO Order Limits is estimated at 128.5ha (23%), and the total area of Subgrade 3a is 200.0ha (35%), giving a total estimated area of BMV land within the proposed DCO Order Limits of 328.5ha, 58%.</p>	Update in response to relevant representation from Natural England.	N/A	Deadline 1
7.4 Outline Soils Management Plan [APP-226]	References	<p>New reference to be inserted after Natural England (2015):</p> <p>Natural England (2016) Agricultural Land Classification detailed Post 1988 ALC survey, Littlehampton, Court Wick Park (Arun DLP Objector Sites) (ALCR05698) [online]. Available at: https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5991422981832704. [Accessed 23 January 2024].</p>	The post 1988 ALC data for the land northwest of Littlehampton was omitted from the ES chapter. The inclusion of this data in the summary of the current baseline ALC grades in the Outline Soils Management Plan requires an	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
			additional reference.		
7.4 Outline Soils Management Plan [APP-226]	Insert paragraph 3.1.10	New paragraph to be added as follows: 3.1.10 Future ALC survey(s) and ALC reports produced for Rampion 2 will be completed in accordance with the MAFF ALC system (MAFF, 1988). Surveys will include auger borings, supplemented by hand dug pits, and will include the use of the Munsell soil colour system for all observation points. Hand pits are to be used to obtain supplementary information on soils including subsoil structure and stone content. The site specific details of micro relief, gradient and flood risk applied in the ALC assessment will be recorded in the future ALC survey report(s) for Rampion 2.	Update in response to relevant representation from Natural England.	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 25 Historic environment [PEPD-020]	Table 25-23 Relevant historic environment embedded environmental measures	Table 25-23 , Row with C-231 text to be amended from "Mitigation will be applied to the onshore substation to meet noise limits to be agreed via future survey and consultation work. Mitigation will consist of buffer zones, enclosures, screening and more detailed engineering." To: "The detailed substation design will be built and operated such that the Rating levels (noise emissions plus any character correction) do not exceed the noise levels at the closest residential receptors that are specified in C-231.	To correct text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 25 Historic environment [PEPD-020]	Table 25-23 Relevant historic environment embedded environmental measures	Table 25-23 , insert new row below row with C-61. First column ID, insert "C-66". Second column "Environmental measure proposed" insert "The Proposed Development will aim to minimise effects on the special qualities of the South Downs National Park and High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) through careful design consideration in terms of scale, size and location, and taking account of the relevant policy and guidance." Third column titled Project phase measure, insert "???" Fourth column titled How the environmental measures will be secured, insert "Onshore Works Plans, Outline Code of Construction Practice – Appendix B Vegetation Retention Plans and Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan Section 4 Landscape and habitat management". Fifth column title Relevance to historic environment assessment, insert "The measure will contribute to minimising effects on heritage assets within the South Downs National Park which may arise through change to setting."	Commitment to be included	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement -	Table 25-23 Relevant	Table 25-23 , insert new row below row with C-261. First column ID, insert "C-278".	Commitment to be included	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Volume 2 Chapter 25 Historic environment [PEPD-020]	historic environment embedded environmental measures	Second column "Environmental measure proposed" insert "Trenchless crossings of Climping Beach SSSI, Sullington Hill LWS and archaeological remains of high heritage significance (identified currently or during pre-commencement investigations) would be designed to ensure a minimum depth of 5m is maintained when passing beneath them to reduce the risk of drilling fluid breaking out to the surface." Third column titled Project phase measure, insert "???". Fourth column titled How the environmental measures will be secured, insert "Outline Code of Construction Practice - Appendix A Crossing schedule and Outline Construction Method Statement Section 3.4 Trenchless crossings". Fifth column titled "Relevance to historic environment assessment", the following can be added, "This measure will avoid impacts to potential archaeological receptors where the trenchless crossing may pass under the Climping Beach SSSI and Sullington Hill LWS, or where trenchless crossings are implemented to avoid as yet unknown archaeological remains of high heritage significance which may be encountered by the proposed development."			
Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 25.2 Onshore historic environment desk study Part 1 of 2 [APP-200]	Section 4.7, Paragraph 4.7.95	Section 4.7, paragraph 4.7.95 text to be amended from: "though none are known within the Study Area." To: "a known scheduled Roman villa site lies within the study area (NHLE 1015886)."	To correct text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 25 Historic environment [PEPD-020]	Section 25.9, Paragraph 25.9.152	Section 25.9, paragraph 25.9.152 text to be deleted "It is noted that LiDAR analysis and geophysical survey have not indicated the presence of archaeological features which indicate the presence of a flint mine or burial structures within the proposed DCO Order Limits."	To delete text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
5.7 Planning Statement [APP-036]	Paragraph 4.7.57	Paragraph 4.7.57 text to be amended from: "The substation site largely comprises post medieval landscaped parkland of Oakendene Manor (Grade II listed) which provides an important visual setting for the surviving manor house."	To correct text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		To: "The substation site largely comprises former post medieval landscaped parkland of Oakendene Manor (Grade II listed) which provides an important visual setting for the surviving manor house."			
Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 25.2 Onshore historic environment desk study Part 1 of 2 [APP-200]	Section 25.9, para 25.9.438	Section 25.9, paragraph 25.9.438 text to be amended from: "If selected for construction, trenchless crossing compound TC-09a would produce less of an impact than TC10a because of the greater distance from the asset, screening by mature planting and lack of windows at the asset's west elevation." To: "If selected for construction, trenchless crossing compound TC-09 and TC-10 would produce less of an impact than TC-09a and TC10a because of the greater distance from the asset, screening by mature planting and lack of windows at the asset's west elevation."	To correct text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 25.2 Onshore historic environment desk study Part 1 of 2 [APP-200]	Table 25-3 Local planning policy relevant to historic environment	Table 25-3 , row 4, under column titled "Relevance to assessment", text to be amended from: "The approach to identifying locally listed buildings or structures of character that may be subject to effects and the assessment of effects is set out in Section 25.4." To: "The approach to identifying heritage assets that may be subject to effects and the assessment of effects is set out in Section 25.4 and in Appendix 25.7 Settings assessment scoping report, Volume 4 of the ES."	To correct text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 25.2 Onshore historic environment desk study Part 1 of 2 [APP-200]	Table 25-23 Relevant historic environment embedded environmental measures	Table 25-23 , row with C-36, delete text under all columns in this row	To delete text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 25.2 Onshore historic environment desk study Part 1 of 2 [APP-200]	Table 25-23 Relevant historic environment embedded environmental measures	Table 25-23 , row with C-4, delete text under all columns in this row	To delete text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement - Volume 2, Chapter 29: Climate change [APP-070]	Table 29-7 Estimation of GHG emissions associated with the Proposed Development	In Table 29-7 . Entries related to Phase: Construction, GHG Emissions Source: Transport of materials to site and onshore labour movements. Estimated GHG emissions (ktCO ₂ e) related to Onshore HGV movements to be amended from: "4.1" To: "3.9" For Onshore LGV movements (commuting) to be amended from: "0.3" To: "0.4" Total in bottom row of table to be adjusted to reflect these changes, from: "2,493.8" To: "2,493.7"	GHG calculation redone following January 2024 update to construction traffic data.	N/A	Deadline 1
5.7 Planning Statement [APP-036]	Paragraph 2.1.4	Paragraph 2.1.4 text to be amended: "West of Washington the route leaves the SDNPA area and crosses into the Horsham District Council administrative area." To: "West of Washington the route leaves the SDNPA area before re-entering the SDNPA area immediately to the east of Washington. It then leaves the SDNPA area further east of Washington, to the west of the junction between the A283 and Water Lane."	To correct text from error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
Volume 4, Appendix 11.1: Marine mammal baseline	Paragraph 8.1.1	Paragraph 8.1.1 : "There was a peak count of seals in July, where three seals were counted, resulting in a monthly density of 0.04 seals/km ² (Table 8 1)." This should change to:	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
technical report [APP-147]		"There was a peak count of seals in July, where five seals were counted, resulting in a monthly density of 0.05 seals/km2 (Table 8 1). "			
Volume 4, Appendix 11.1: Marine mammal baseline technical report [APP-147]	Table 8-1	Table 8-1, Survey 4 (Jul-19) should read count = 5, abundance = 43, Lower CI = 9, Upper CI = 86, Precision = 0.44, Density = 0.05	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Volume 4, Appendix 11.1: Marine mammal baseline technical report [APP-147]	Table 8-1	Table 8-1, Survey 9 (Dec-19) should read count = 1, abundance = 8, Lower CI = 2, Upper CI = 25, Precision = 1.00, Density = 0.01	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Volume 4, Appendix 11.1: Marine mammal baseline technical report [APP-147]	Table 8-1	Table 8-1, Survey 22 (Jan-21) should read count = 1, abundance = 9, Lower CI = 1, Upper CI = 26, Precision = 1.00, Density = 0.01	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Volume 4, Appendix 11.1: Marine mammal baseline technical report [APP-147]	Table 8-1	Table 8-1, Survey 24 (Mar-21) should read count = 1, abundance = 9, Lower CI = 1, Upper CI = 26, Precision = 1.00, Density = 0.01	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	8.9.49	<p>Paragraph 8.9.49 - "Male black seabream will largely remain with their nests until the eggs have hatched to protect them from smothering from sediment and predation. It should be noted that predation of eggs is be expected to be most prevalent while male black seabream are away from the nest, therefore any disturbance from potential piling operations could result in unprotected nests, although it is likely that potential predators will also vacate the area during potential piling thus limiting this potential effect."</p> <p>It should read - "Male black seabream will largely remain with their nests until the eggs have hatched to protect them from smothering from sediment and predation. It should be noted that predation of eggs is be expected to be most prevalent while</p>	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		male black seabream are away from the nest, therefore any disturbance from potential piling operations could result in unprotected nests." - remove 'Although it is likely that potential predators will also vacate the area during potential piling thus limiting this potential effect'.			
Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	8.9.226	Paragraph 8.9.26: "The mitigation is to employ one or more noise abatement mitigations, during the summer breeding season of seahorse, which will reduce the impact ranges of TTS to outside of the MCZ." This should change to: "The mitigation is to employ at least one noise abatement mitigation, during the summer breeding season of seahorse, which will reduce the impact ranges of TTS to outside of the MCZ."	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Table 8-18	Table 8-18 - Add a footnote to table "Please note: hearing category group 4 is not in Popper et al. (2014), but is considered to be a suitable category for this species."	To correct error in document.	N/A	Deadline 1
Volume 2 Chapter 9 Benthic, subtidal and intertidal ecology [APP-050]	Table 9-8	Table 9-8 - Current text "Temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition in the proposed DCO Order Limits array area and offshore export cable corridor." should read "Temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition."	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-038]	7.4.28	Paragraph 7.4.28 , last sentence currently reads " Therefore, factoring in the distance to the Solent Maritime SAC (15.9km at closest point) there will be no pathway for sediment deposition (from any source) to exceed the 30cm pressure benchmark described above." Text should read " Therefore, factoring in the distance to the Solent Maritime SAC (20.9km at closest point) there will be no pathway for sediment deposition (from any source) to exceed the 30cm pressure benchmark described above.	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Outline Project Environmental Management	Appendix G	Appendix A. Insert : All pollution incidents, reports, and situation updates will be emailed to the Natural England Marine Incidents Mailbox: marineincidents@naturalengland.org.uk.	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
Plan (offshore) [APP-233]		<p>Appendix G currently reads: "MMO Marine Licensing marine.consent@marinemanagement.org.uk MMO Local Office (Shoreham) shoreham@marinemanagement.org.uk Maritime & Coastguard Agency navigationsafety@mcga.gov.uk Trinity House navigation.directorate@thls.org UK Hydrographic Office navwarnings@ukho.gov.uk Navigational Warnings navwarnings@btconnect.com National Federation of Fisherman's Organisations nffo@nffo.org.uk Kingfisher at Sea fish kingfisher@seafish.co.uk"</p> <p>The list should read: "MMO Marine Licensing marine.consent@marinemanagement.org.uk MMO Local Office (Shoreham) shoreham@marinemanagement.org.uk Maritime & Coastguard Agency navigationsafety@mcga.gov.uk Trinity House navigation.directorate@thls.org UK Hydrographic Office navwarnings@ukho.gov.uk Navigational Warnings navwarnings@btconnect.com National Federation of Fisherman's Organisations nffo@nffo.org.uk Kingfisher at Sea fish kingfisher@seafish.co.uk Natural England Marine Incidents marineincidents@naturalengland.org.uk"</p>			
Appendix 11.3 Underwater noise assessment technical report [APP-149]	Table 2-10	<p>Table 2-10 Title currently reads "Table 2-10 Levels for a 50 % response was observed in fish from Hawkins et al. (2014)"</p> <p>The title should read "Table 2-10 Levels for a 50 % response was observed in fish from McCauley et al. (2000)".</p>	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Appendix 11.3 Underwater noise assessment technical report [APP-149]	Table 3-6	<p>Table 3-6 "SPL_{peak} source levels (dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m) Worst-case Monopile: 12 m / 4,400 kJ Jacket: 3 m / 2,500 kJ"</p> <p>Table should read:</p>	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
		SPLpeak source levels (dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m) Worst-case Monopile: 13.5 m / 4,400 kJ Jacket: 3 m / 2,500 kJ"			
Appendix 11.3 Underwater noise assessment technical report [APP-149]	Table 3-7	Table 3-7 - "SPLpeak source levels (dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m) Worst-case Monopile: 12 m / 4,400 kJ Jacket: 3 m / 2,500 kJ" Table should read: SPLpeak source levels (dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m) Worst-case Monopile: 13.5 m / 4,400 kJ Jacket: 3 m / 2,500 kJ"	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Draft UXO Clearance Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol [APP-237]	Table 3-1	Table 3-1 currently line reads "(VHF) 4.6km 6.0km 7.7km 9.8km 2.5km" line in table should read; "(VHF) 4.6km 6.0km 7.7km 9.8km 13km"	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Draft UXO Clearance Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol [APP-237]	Table 4-1	Table 4-1 under C-275. "The use of low order detonations will secure a reductio in the impact from underwear noise generated from UXO clearance, lowering the risk of injury, including PTS." Text should read "The use of low order detonations will secure a reduction in the impact from underwater noise generated from UXO clearance, lowering the risk of injury, including PTS."	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Appendix 9.3 Rampion 2 Offshore wind	Table 11	Table 11 Value for OSPAR BAC for Cr reads "8"	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document	Deadline Identified
farm subtidal benthic characterisation survey report [APP-137]		Value should read "80"			
In Principle Sensitive Features Mitigation Plan [APP-239]	Section 5.1, Bullet 4	<p>Section 5.1, last bullet point - Current text "C-282 Commitment to commence piling at locations furthest from the Kingmere MCZ during the black seabream breeding period (March-July), to reduce the risk of significant effects from installation works on breeding black seabream within or outside of the Kingmere MCZ.</p> <p>Text should read "C-274 Commitment to commence piling at locations furthest from the Kingmere MCZ during the black seabream breeding period (March-July), to reduce the risk of significant effects from installation works on breeding black seabream within or outside of the Kingmere MCZ.</p>	To correct error in document	N/A	Deadline 1
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-34	Table 21-34 of the N&V chapter assessed noise for construction works to form A-57a at a receptor on Dragons Lane. No such construction is needed as the access is operation-only. Where repair is needed to the access, a trackway or similar would have to be put down and then the previously reported levels would be a worst case.	Due to error in assessment assessing for construction and operational access, rather than just operational access.	n/a	Deadline 4